Research Article

Some Bayes Estimators for Maxwell Distribution by Using New Loss Function

Huda A. Rasheed, Zainab N. Khalifa

Department of Mathematics, Collage of Science, Mustansiriyah University, IRAQ. *Correspondent email: iraqalnoor1@gmail.com or zainabnaeem91@yahoo.com

ArticleInfo	Abstract
	In the current study, we have been derived some Bayesian estimations of the scale parameter of
Received	Maxwell distribution using the New loss function (NLF) which it called Generalized weighted
1/9/2016	loss function, assuming non-informative prior, namely, Jefferys prior and information prior,
	represented by Inverted Levy prior. Based on Monte Carlo simulation method, those estimations
Accepted	are compared depending on the mean squared errors (MSE's). The results show that, the behavior
17/4/2016	of Bayesian estimation under New loss function using Inverted Levy prior when (k=0, c=3) is the
	better behavior than other estimates for all cases.
	Keywords: Maxwell distribution, Bayesian estimations, New loss Function, Jefferys prior and Inverted Levy prior, Mean squared error.
	الخلاصية
	في الدراسة الحالية، تم اشتقاق بعض مقدرات بيز لمعلمة القياس لتوزيع ماكسويل تحت دالة خسارة جديدة والتي سميت دالة
	الخسارة الموزونه المعممة، مع افتراض دالة أسبقية غير معلوماتية وهي دالة أسبقية جفري و دالة الأسبقية المعلوماتية تمتلت
	بدالة أسبقية معكوس ليفي. على أساس طريقة مونت كارلو للمحاكاة فإن هذه المقدرات، تمت مقارنتها بالاعتماد على متوسط
	مربعات الخطأ (MSE's).
	اظهرت النتائج أن أداء مقدر بيز تحت دالة الخسارة الجديدة باستخدام دالة اسبقية معكوس ليفي عندما (k=0, c=3) اكثر دقة
	من التقدير ات الأخرى في جميع الحالات.

Introduction

Maxwell [1] derived a mathematical formulation in three dimensional spaces to describe the distributions of speeds of molecules in thermal equilibrium and it came to be known as Maxwell distribution. Not all molecules move at the same speed and a few molecules move at faster speeds resulting in a leptokurtic distribution, i.e., unimodal with a longer right tail. A major characteristic of the Maxwell distribution is that it has a smooth increasing failure rate, because of which it is useful in those life-testing and reliability studies in which the assumption of constant failure rate, such as in case of exponential distribution, is not realistic. Tyagi and Bhattacharya [2] for the first time consider one parameter (scale) Maxwell distribution as a model for the distribution of life times. They obtained the minimum variance unbiased and the Bayes estimators of the scale parameter, and the reliability function of this distribution. Chaturvedi and Rani [3] generalized the Maxwell

distribution through some trans-formation on a gamma distributed random variable. They also obtained the classical and Bayes estimators of the parameters. Howlader and Hossain [4] derived the highest posterior density (HPD) intervals for the unknown scale parameter, as well as for a future observation considering an asymptotically locally invariant prior proposed by Hartigan [5]. Podder and Roy [6] estimated the parameter of this distribution under Modified Linear Exponential Loss Function (MLINEX). Bekker and Roux [7] studied the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE), as well as the Bayes estimators of the truncated first moment and hazard function of the Maxwell distribution. Krishna and Malik [8] obtained themlE and the Bayes estimator of the reliability characteristics under type-II censoring scheme. Dey and Maiti considered one parameter Maxwell [9] distribution with scale parameter and obtained Bayes estimators using non-informative and conjugate priors under symmetric as well as



asymmetric loss functions, namely quadratic loss function, squared-log error loss function, and modified linear exponential loss function. Performances of all these estimators were compared on the basis of their estimated risk. Krishna and Malik [10] compared themlE and the Bayes estimators of the scale parameter and the reliability function under progressive Type II censoring scheme. Recently, Dey et al. [11] studied one parameter Maxwell distribution under different loss functions, namely squared error loss function and precautionary loss function, and compared the performances of these estimators. They also obtained predictive density and HPD prediction interval for a future observation. Rasheed [12] derived minimax estimation of the parameter of the Maxwell distribution under Quadratic loss function.

Model Description [13]

The Maxwell (or Maxwell – Boltzmann) distribution gives the distribution of speeds of molecules in thermal equilibrium as given by statistical mechanics.

Defining $\theta = \frac{2KT}{m}$, where K is the Maxwell constant, T is temperature, m is the mass of a molecule. The probability density function and the cumulative distribution function of Maxwell distribution over the rang $x \in [0, \infty)$ are given by:

$$f(x,\theta) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{3}{2}}} x^2 e^{-\frac{x^2}{\theta}} \theta < X, \theta$$
(1)

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \Gamma(\frac{x^2}{\theta}, \frac{3}{2})$$
(2)

Where $\Gamma(x, \alpha) = \int_0^x e^{-u} u^{\alpha-1} du$ is the incomplete gamma function.

It can also be expressed as follows

$$F(x;\theta) = 2erf\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{x}{\theta}e^{-\frac{x^2}{\theta}}$$

Where $erf(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty e^{-w^2} dw$, is the error function.

Bayesian Estimations

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a random sample of size n with probability density function given in (1) and likelihood function is given by:

$$L(x_{i;\theta}) = \pi_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i;\theta}) =$$
(3)

$$\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^n \frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{3n}{2}}} (\pi_{i=1}^n x_i^2) e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\theta}}$$

Bayes Estimator under New Loss Function

Rasheed and Al-Shareefi [14] offered a new loss function, in estimating the scale parameter of Laplace distribution which is called Generalized weighted loss function and introduced as follows:

$$L(\widehat{\theta}, \theta) = \frac{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j} \theta^{j}\right) \left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta\right)^{2}}{\theta^{c}}$$
(4)

Where, $\theta > 0$; a_j is constant, j = 0, 1, 2, ..., k, c is constant. Hence, Bayesian estimation using the new loss function will be; [15]

$$\widehat{\theta}_{NLF} = \frac{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-1}} | \underline{x}\right) + a_1 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-2}} | \underline{x}\right) + \dots + a_K E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-(K+1)}} | \underline{x}\right)}{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^C} | \underline{x}\right) + a_1 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-1}} | \underline{x}\right) + \dots + a_K E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-K}} | \underline{x}\right)} \quad (5)$$

Where a_0, a_1, \dots, a_K are constant.

The Bayesian estimators of the parameter θ under different two prior distributions which are mentioned below can be obtained as follows:

(i) Bayesian estimation Using Jefferys Prior Information

Suppose that, the unknown scale parameter θ has non-information prior density defined as using Jefferys prior information $q(\theta)$ which is derived to be: [13-16]

$$g_1 \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)}$$
 (6)

Where $I(\theta) = -nE\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right)$, represents the Fisher's information matrix. Hence,

$$g_1(\theta) = k \sqrt{-nE\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x; a, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right)}$$
(7)

k is a constant.

By taking the logarithm for distribution and taking the second partial derivative according to θ , yields:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x;a,\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{3}{2\theta^2} - \frac{2x^2}{\theta^3}$$
(8)

104

$$E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x;a,\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) = \frac{3}{2\theta^2} - \frac{2}{\theta^3}E(x^2)$$

$$E(x) = 2\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{\pi}} \text{ and } Var(x) = \frac{\theta}{2\pi}(3\pi - 8) [7]$$

$$E(x^2) = (E(x))^2 + Var(x)$$

$$= \left(2\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{\pi}}\right)^2 + \frac{\theta}{2\pi}(3\pi - 8) = \frac{3}{\theta^2}$$

$$E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) = \frac{3}{2\theta^2} - \frac{3}{\theta^2} = \frac{-3}{2\theta^2}$$
(9)

After Substitution (9) into (7), yields:

$$g_1(\theta) = k \sqrt{-n\left(-\frac{3}{2\theta^2}\right)} = \frac{k}{\theta} \sqrt{\frac{3n}{2}}$$
(10)

Now, combining the prior (10) with the likelihood function (3), we have the posterior distribution of θ according to Jefferys prior information which is given by:

$$h_{1}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \frac{g(\theta)L(\theta; x_{1}x_{2} \dots x_{n})}{\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\theta)L(\theta; x_{1}x_{2} \dots x_{n})d\theta}$$

$$h_{1}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \frac{\frac{1}{\theta^{(\frac{3n}{2}+1)}}exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2}}{\theta}\right]}{\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\theta^{(\frac{3n}{2}+1)}}exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2}}{\theta}\right]d\theta}$$
(11)

On Simplification, yields:

$$h_{1}(\theta | \underline{x}) = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2})^{\frac{3n}{2}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}/\theta}}{\theta^{(\frac{3n}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{3n}{2})}} = \frac{T^{\frac{3n}{2}} e^{-\frac{T}{\theta}}}{\theta^{(\frac{3n}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{3n}{2})}}$$
(12)

This posterior density is recognized as the density of the Inverse Gamma distribution. Now, Recall that, according to the posterior

density function (12), we derived $E(\theta^m | \underline{x})$, $E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^m} | \underline{x}\right)$ and get:

$$E\left(\theta^{m}|\underline{x}\right) = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{m} \Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{2} - m\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{2}\right)}, m = 1, 2, \dots$$

And

$$E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{m}} \left| \underline{x} \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3n}{2} + m)}{\Gamma(\frac{3n}{2})(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2})^{m}}, \ m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Which can be substituted to obtain $\hat{\theta}_{NLJ}$, that is denoted to the Bayesian estimation based on Jeffery's prior using New loss function. Now, putting k = 0 in (5), yields:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NLJ} = \frac{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-1}} | \underline{x}\right)}{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^C} | \underline{x}\right)}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{NLJ} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{(\frac{3n}{2} + c - 1)}$$
(13)

Let c=1, then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Jefferys prior which is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NJ01}$ will be:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NJ01} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}{\frac{3n}{2}}$$
(14)

Putting c=2, then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Jefferys prior that is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NI02}$ will be:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NJ02} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}{\frac{3n}{2} + 1}$$
(15)

With c=3, then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Jefferys prior which is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NI03}$ will be:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NJ03} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}{\frac{3n}{2} + 2}$$
(16)

Now, putting k = 1 in (5), we get:

105



$$\hat{\theta}_{NLJ} = \frac{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-1}} | \underline{x}\right) + a_1 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-2}} | \underline{x}\right)}{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C}} | \underline{x}\right) + a_1 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-1}} | \underline{x}\right)}$$

With c=1,then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Jefferys prior which is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{N/11}$ will be:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NJ11} = \frac{a_0 \left(\frac{3n}{2} - 1\right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + a_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^2}{a_0 \frac{3n}{2} \left(\frac{3n}{2} - 1\right) + a_1 \left(\frac{3n}{2} - 1\right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}, \quad (17)$$
$$m = 1, 2, \dots$$

With c=2, then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Jefferys prior which is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NJ12}$ become

$$\hat{\theta}_{NJ12} = \frac{a_0 \frac{3n}{2} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2) + a_1 (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^2}{a_0 \frac{3n}{2} (\frac{3n}{2} + 1) + a_1 \frac{3n}{2} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)}$$
(18)

With c=3,then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Jefferys prior that is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NI13}$ is:

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}_{NJ13}}{a_0 \frac{3n}{2} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2) + a_1 (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^2}{a_0 \frac{3n}{2} (\frac{3n}{2} + 1) + a_1 \frac{3n}{2} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)}$$
(19)

(ii) Bayesian estimation Using Inverted Levy Prior Information

The inverted Levy prior is assumed to be [17]:

$$g_2(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2\theta}}, \qquad \theta, \lambda > 0$$
 (20)

Where λ is the hyper-parameter. Now, the posterior density function is:

$$h_2(\theta|\underline{x}) = \frac{g_4(\theta)L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\int_0^\infty g_4(\theta)L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)d\theta}$$
(21)



Hence, the posterior density functions of (θ) based on Inverted Levy prior is given by: [14]

$$h_{2}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\frac{3n-1}{2}} e^{-(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2})/\theta}}{\theta^{\left(\frac{3n+1}{2}\right)} \Gamma(\frac{3n-1}{2})}$$
(22)

$$=\frac{(T+\frac{\lambda}{2})^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}e^{-\frac{(T+\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\theta}}}{\theta^{\frac{3n+1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{3n-1}{2})}$$

It is clear that, $h_2(\theta | \underline{x})$ is recognized as the density of the Inverse Gamma distribution. Now, based on Inverted Levy prior, we get:

$$E\left(\theta^{m}|\underline{x}\right) = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{m} \Gamma\left(\frac{3n-1}{2} - m\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right)}$$
(23)

And

$$E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{m}}|\underline{x}\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n-1}{2}-m\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{m}}, m =$$
(24)
1, 2, ...

Which can be substituted to obtain $\hat{\theta}_{NLIL}$, where, $\hat{\theta}_{NLIL}$ denoted to the Bayesian estimation based on Inverted Levy prior using new loss function. Now, putting k = 0 in (5), we get:

$$\widehat{\theta}_{NIL} = \frac{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-1}} | \underline{x}\right)}{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^C} | \underline{x}\right)}$$
(25)

$$\hat{\theta}_{NIL} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{3n-3}{2} + c\right)}$$

With c=1, then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Inverted Levy prior that is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NIL01}$ will be:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NIL01} = \frac{T + \frac{\lambda}{2}}{\left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right)} \tag{26}$$

With, c = 2 then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Inverted Levy prior that is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NIL02}$ become:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NIL02} = \frac{T + \frac{\lambda}{2}}{\left(\frac{3n+1}{2}\right)} \tag{27}$$

With, c = 3, then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Inverted Levy prior which is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NIL03}$ is:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NIL03} = \frac{T + \frac{\lambda}{2}}{\left(\frac{3n+3}{2}\right)}$$
(28)

Now, putting k = 1 in (5), yields:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NIL} = \frac{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-1}} | \underline{x}\right) + a_1 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-2}} | \underline{x}\right)}{a_0 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C}} | \underline{x}\right) + a_1 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{C-1}} | \underline{x}\right)}$$

With, c=1, then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Inverted Levy prior that is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NIL11}$ become:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NIL11} = \frac{a_0 \left(\frac{3n-3}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + a_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2}{9}\right)}{a_0 \left(\frac{3n-3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right) + a_1 \left(\frac{3n-3}{2}\right) \left(\Sigma\right)}$$
With any the Paramina estimation using

With, c=2, then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Inverted Levy prior that is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NIL12}$ is:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NIL12} = \frac{a_0 \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + a_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2}{a_0 \left(\frac{3n+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right) + a_1 \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)}$$
(30)

With, c = 3, then the Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Inverted Levy prior which is denoted by $\hat{\theta}_{NIL13}$ will be:

$$\hat{\theta}_{NIL13} = a_0 \left(\frac{3n+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + a_1 \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 a_0 \left(\frac{3n+3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3n+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right) + a_1 \left(\frac{3n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2$$
(31)

Simulation Results

Mean Squared Errors, are considered to compare the different estimations of the parameter θ that obtained by the method of Bayes Estimators for New loss function that derived previously. In this simulation results study, the number of recurrence used was I = 5000 samples of sizes n = 5, 10, 20, 50, 100 from the Maxwell distribution with different values of (θ = 0.5, 1.5, 3), and hyper parameter of Inverted Levy prior λ =0.8, and constant of New loss function (a_0 =5000 and a_1 0.5, 50).

The random samples from Maxwell distribution have been generated by applying an algorithm offered by ^[8].

In this section, Monte-Carlo simulation study is performed to compare the methods of estimation by using mean squared errors as an important criteria for comparing the efficiency of each of estimators, where:

$$MSE(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta)^2}{I}$$
(32)

Discussion

The results are summarized study for estimating the scale parameter (θ) of Maxwell distribution and Tables (1, 2, and 3) which contain the Expected values and MSE's, we have observed that:

The behavior of Bayesian estimation using New loss function based on Inverted Levy(NIL03) when (K=0, C=3) is the best estimate, comparing to others for all sample sizes and based on all values of the scale parameter. It is observed that



mean squared error of all estimations of scale parameter is increasing with the increase of the scale parameter value. Finally, for all parameter values, an obvious reduction in mean squared error is observed based on the increase in sample size

Conclusion

It is observed that mean squared error of all estimates of the scale parameter is increases

based on the increase of the scale parameter value, based on all cases.

With all values of θ , the performance of Bayesian estimations using New loss function based on K=0, C=3 is better in comparing to other estimations.

Use New loss function, based on value of the constant (a_0) is much greater than, nearly, $a_0=5000, a_1=0.5$.

Table 1: Estimates and M	ISE's for different sample sizes with θ =0.5, λ =0.8 and a_0 =5000
	-

Estimators		n n					
		Criteria	5	10	20	50	100
NITOA		EXP.	0.499823	0.498925	0.499653	0.499709	0.499559
NJ	01	MSE	0.034281	0.017208	0.008368	0.003295	0.001646
NT	0.0	EXP.	0.441021	0.467742	0.483536	0.493134	0.496250
NJ	02	MSE	0.030168	0.016164	0.008108	0.003256	0.001638
NI	0.2	EXP.	0.394597	0.440227	0.468425	0.486729	0.492986
Ŋ	03	MSE	0.032476	0.016969	0.008351	0.003302	0.001652
	N I 1 1	EXP.	0.499828	0.498927	0.499654	0.499709	0.499559
	INJ I I	MSE	0.034282	0.017208	0.008368	0.003295	0.001646
~ _0 5	N117	EXP.	0.441024	0.467744	0.483536	0.493135	0.496250
<i>a</i> ₁ =0.5	INJ I Z	MSE	0.030169	0.016164	0.008108	0.003256	0.001638
	N I 1 2	EXP.	0.394599	0.440228	0.468426	0.486729	0.492986
	INJ I J	MSE	0.032476	0.016969	0.008351	0.003302	0.001652
	N I 1 1	EXP.	0.500258	0.499114	0.499741	0.499743	0.499576
	INJII	MSE	0.034400	0.017234	0.008374	0.003296	0.001646
a -50	NJ12	EXP.	0.441314	0.467898	0.483616	0.493166	0.496266
$u_1 - 30$		MSE	0.030205	0.016174	0.008110	0.003256	0.001638
	N113	EXP.	0.394805	0.440356	0.468497	0.486761	0.493002
	11313	MSE	0.032477	0.016970	0.008351	0.003302	0.001652
NH	01	EXP.	0.592668	0.543715	0.521682	0.508431	0.503905
1911	201	MSE	0.047941	0.020325	0.009124	0.003411	0.001672
NII	02	EXP.	0.518584	0.508637	0.504576	0.501698	0.500558
1111	102	MSE	0.030475	0.016189	0.008117	0.003254	0.001635
NII	03	EXP.	0.460964	0.477810	0.488559	0.495139	0.497252
	100	MSE	0.025330	0.014713	0.007721	0.003191	0.001621
	NII 11	EXP.	0.592674	0.543717	0.521683	0.508432	0.503905
		MSE	0.047944	0.020326	0.009124	0.003411	0.001672
<i>a</i> ₁ =0 5	NIL12	EXP.	0.518588	0.508639	0.504577	0.501698	0.500558
u1 0.5		MSE	0.030477	0.016190	0.008117	0.003254	0.001635
	NIL13	EXP.	0.460967	0.477811	0.488560	0.495139	0.495139
	1.1210	MSE	0.025331	0.014713	0.007721	0.003191	0.003191
	NIL11	EXP.	0.593314	0.543947	0.521780	0.508467	0.503922
		MSE	0.048234	0.020377	0.009135	0.003412	0.001672
$a_1 = 50$) NIL12	EXP.	0.519009	0.508826	0.504666	0.501732	0.500574
		MSE	0.030591	0.016217	0.008123	0.003255	0.001635
	NIL13	EXP.	0.461258	0.47/967	0.488639	0.495172	0.497/269
	111110	MSE	0.025369	0.0147/25	0.007/24	0.003191	0.001621

Table 2: Estimates and MSE's for different sample sizes with θ =1.5, λ =0.8 and a_0 =5000							
Estim	ators	n Criteria	5	10	20	50	100
NI	01	EXP.	1.499469	1.496774	1.498959	1.499125	1.498673
INJ	01	MSE	0.308532	0.154873	0.075310	0.029657	0.014811
NI	02	EXP.	1.323063	1.403226	1.450607	1.479400	1.488749
1 J	02	MSE	0.271514	0.145474	0.072968	0.029305	0.014740
NI	03	EXP.	1.183792	1.403226	1.405273	1.460188	1.478957
1 J	03	MSE	0.292286	0.145474	0.075162	0.029720	0.014865
	N I 1 1	EXP.	1.499510	1.496791	1.498968	1.499128	1.498675
	INJII	MSE	0.308564	0.154879	0.075312	0.029657	0.014811
a -0 5	N I 1 2	EXP.	1.323089	1.403241	1.450613	1.479403	1.488750
$u_1 - 0.5$	11012	MSE	0.271524	0.145477	0.072969	0.029305	0.014740
	N113	EXP.	1.183810	1.320694	1.405280	1.460191	1.478958
	11015	MSE	0.292286	0.152722	0.075162	0.029720	0.014865
	N I 1 1	EXP.	1.503330	1.498458	1.499747	1.499428	1.498825
	11011	MSE	0.311705	0.155564	0.075467	0.029680	0.014816
a50	N112	EXP.	1.325672	1.404608	1.451317	1.479692	1.488897
$u_1 - 30$	11012	MSE	0.272484	0.145748	0.073037	0.029315	0.014742
	N113	EXP.	1.185641	1.321831	1.405922	1.460468	1.479101
	1010	MSE	0.292317	0.152733	0.075162	0.029719	0.014864
NII	01	EXP.	1.663719	1.575978	1.575978	1.514560	1.506367
1111	.01	MSE	0.380984	0.171499	0.171499	0.030267	0.014949
NII	.02	EXP.	1.455753	1.474298	1.487503	1.494497	1.496355
1111	102	MSE	0.273129	0.145693	0.073016	0.029295	0.014724
NII	.03	EXP.	1.294002	1.384948	1.440279	1.474961	1.486478
111	105	MSE	0.256693	0.141223	0.071874	0.029131	0.014700
	NIL 11	EXP.	1.663772	1.575996	1.537932	1.514563	1.506369
		MSE	0.381046	0.171510	0.079324	0.030267	0.014949
$a_{1}=0.5$	NIL12	EXP.	1.455785	1.474313	1.487511	1.494500	1.496357
u ₁ 0.5	111114	MSE	0.273150	0.145699	0.073018	0.029295	0.014724
	NIL13	EXP.	1.294025	1.384960	1.440286	1.474964	1.486479
		MSE	0.256699	0.141225	0.071875	0.029131	0.014700
	NIL11	EXP.	1.668816	1.577902	1.538769	1.514867	1.506514
	50 NIL12	MSE	0.387016	0.172610	0.079557	0.030301	0.014957
$a_{1}=50$		EXP.	1.459105	1.475869	1.488263	1.494795	1.496502
u1-30		MSE	0.275340	0.146237	0.073147	0.029315	0.014729
	NIL 13	EXP.	1.296324	1.386246	1.440969	1.475247	1.486621
	INIL 13	MSE	0.257280	0.141408	0.071923	0.029139	0.014702

Table 3: Estimates and MSE's for different sample sizes with θ =3, λ =0.8 and a_0 =5000

Estim	ators	Criteria	5	10	20	50	100
NI	01	EXP.	2.998938	2.993549	2.997918	2.998251	2.997346
NJUI		MSE	1.234127	0.619490	0.301240	0.118626	0.059243
NJ02		EXP.	2.646127	2.806452	2.901214	2.958800	2.977498
		MSE	1.086054	0.581897	0.291874	0.117220	0.058960
NT	0.2	EXP.	2.367584	2.641365	2.810546	2.920375	2.957915
INJUS		MSE	1.169143	0.610886	0.300649	0.118881	0.059459
	NJ11	EXP.	2.999096	2.993617	2.997952	2.998263	2.997352
<i>a</i> ₁ =0.5		MSE	1.234384	0.619547	0.301253	0.118628	0.059243
	NJ12	EXP.	2.646229	2.806511	2.901238	2.958811	2.977504

109



		MSE	1.086135	0.581920	0.291879	0.117220	0.058960
	N I 13	EXP.	2.367661	2.641409	2.810574	2.920387	2.957920
	11015	MSE	1.169145	0.610890	0.300650	0.118881	0.059459
	N I 1 1	EXP.	3.014093	3.000170	3.001023	2.999444	2.997946
	11311	MSE	1.259003	0.624908	0.302472	0.118809	0.059286
a -50	NT13	EXP.	2.656391	2.811893	2.904030	2.959946	2.978077
$u_1 - 50$	INJ 1 2	MSE	1.093657	0.584031	0.292409	0.117303	0.058979
	N I 1 2	EXP.	2.374866	2.645895	2.813105	2.921480	2.958474
	NJ I S	MSE	1.169361	0.610959	0.300642	0.118874	0.059455
NII	01	EXP.	3.270289	3.124364	3.062290	3.023734	3.010049
INIL	JUI	MSE	1.489784	0.678371	0.315414	0.120784	0.059734
NII	02	EXP.	2.861506	2.922787	2.961891	2.983689	2.990048
NIL02		MSE	1.103861	0.586091	0.292893	0.117323	0.058942
NHL 02		EXP.	2.543555	2.745653	2.867859	2.944689	2.970314
INIL	105	MSE	1.065371	0.576635	0.290690	0.117076	0.058950
	NIL11	EXP.	3.270493	3.124442	3.062323	3.023746	3.010054
		MSE	1.490244	0.678458	0.315433	0.120787	0.059734
~ _0 5	NIL12	EXP.	2.861637	2.922853	2.961920	2.983703	2.990054
<i>a</i> ₁ =0.5		MSE	1.104028	0.586131	0.292903	0.117325	0.058942
	NIT 12	EXP.	2.543652	2.745707	2.867886	2.944701	2.970321
	NIL13	MSE	1.065408	0.576648	0.290694	0.117077	0.058950
	NIT 11	EXP.	3.289675	3.131821	3.065582	3.024968	3.010652
	NILII	MSE	1.533912	0.686589	0.317161	0.121035	0.059793
<i>a</i> ₁ =50	NIL12	EXP.	2.874289	2.928884	2.964863	2.984870	2.990632
		MSE	1.119701	0.590010	0.293836	0.117468	0.058976
	NIL13	EXP.	2.552429	2.750689	2.870559	2.945818	2.970893
		MSE	1.069214	0.577846	0.291005	0.117125	0.058960

References

- Maxwell, J.. "On the Dynamical Theory of Gases". Presented to the meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, Sep.; Phil. Mag. 19:434-36, in: *Scientific Letters*, Vol. I.(pg.616). 1860.
- [2] Tyagi and Bhattacharya. "Bayes estimation of the Maxwell's velocity distribution function". *Statistica*, 29(4): 563-567. 1989.
- [3] Chaturvedi, A. and Rani, U.. "Classical and Bayesian Reliability estimation of the generalized Maxwell failure distribution". *Journal of Statistical Research*, 32, 113– 120. 1998.
- [4] Howlader, H. A. and Hossain, A.. " Bayesian prediction intervals for Maxwell parameters". *Matron*, LVI(1-2), 97–105. 1998.
- [5] Hartigan, J.. "Invariant prior distributions". Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 35, 836–845. 1964.
- [6] Podder, C. K. and Roy, M. K. "Bayesian Estimation of the Parameter of Maxwell Distribution undermlINEX Loss

Function". *Journal of Statistical Studies*, 23, 11-16. 2003.

- [7] Bekker, A. and Roux, J.J.. "Reliability characteristics of the Maxwell distribution: A Bayes estimation study". *Comm. Stat.* (*Theory & Math.*), 34(11): 2169 - 2178. 2005.
- [8] Krishna and Malik, "Reliability estimation in Maxwell distribution with Type-II censored data". *Int. Journal of Quality and Reliability management*, 26 (2): 184–195. 2009.
- [9] Dey, S. and Maiti, S. S.. " Bayesian estimation of the parameter of Maxwell distribution under different loss functions". *Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice*, 4(2), 279–287. 2010.
- [10] Krishna, H and Malik, M. "Reliability estimation in Maxwell distribution with progressively Type-II censored data". *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 82(4), 623-641. 2012.
- [11] Dey, S., Dey, T. and Maiti, S. S., "Bayesian inference for Maxwell distribution under conjugate prior". *Model*

Assisted Statistics and Applications, 8, 193–203. 2013.

- [12] Rasheed. "Minimax Estimation of The Parameter of the Maxwell Distribution under Quadratic loss function". *Journal of Al-Rafidain University College*, ISSN (1681-6870), Issue No.31. 2013.
- [13] Rasheed, H.A. and Khalifa, Z.N.. "Bayes Estimators for the Maxwell Distribution under Quadratic Loss Function Using Different Priors". *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 10(6), pp.97-103. 2016.
- [14] Rasheed and Khalifa, Z,N.. "Semi-Minimax Estimators of Maxwell Distribution under New Loss Function". *Mathematical and Statistics Journal*, ISSN-2077-459,2(3), pp.16-22. 2016.
- [15] Rasheed and AL-Shareefi. "Bayes Estimator for the Scale Parameter of Laplace distribution under a Suggested Loss Function". *International Journal of Advanced Research*, Volume 3, Issue 3, 788-796. 2015.
- [16] Rasheed and Aref. "Bayesian Approach in Estimation of Scale Parameter of Inverse Rayleigh distribution". *Mathematics and Statistics Journal*, ISSN-2077-459, 2(1): 8-13. 2016.
- [17] Sindhu, T., N; Aslam M. "Bayesian Estimation on the Proportional Inverse Weibul Distribution under Different Loss Functions". Advances in Agriculture, Science and Engineering Research, vol.3(2), pp.641-655. 2013.

